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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Biosynthesis of extragonadal androgen may contribute to the progression of castration-resistant prostate cancer. We evaluated whether abiraterone acetate, an inhibitor of androgen biosynthesis, prolongs overall survival among patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer who have received chemotherapy.

METHODS

We randomly assigned, in a 2:1 ratio, 1195 patients who had previously received docetaxel to receive 5 mg of prednisone twice daily with either 1000 mg of abiraterone acetate (797 patients) or placebo (398 patients). The primary end point was overall survival. The secondary end points included time to prostate-specific antigen (PSA) progression (elevation in the PSA level according to prespecified criteria), progression-free survival according to radiologic findings based on prespecified criteria, and the PSA response rate.

RESULTS

After a median follow-up of 12.8 months, overall survival was longer in the abiraterone acetate–prednisone group than in the placebo–prednisone group (14.8 months vs. 10.9 months; hazard ratio, 0.65; 95% confidence interval, 0.54 to 0.77; P<0.001). Data were unblinded at the interim analysis, since these results exceeded the predefined criteria for study termination. All secondary end points, including time to PSA progression (10.2 vs. 6.6 months; P<0.001), progression-free survival (5.6 months vs. 3.6 months; P<0.001), and PSA response rate (29% vs. 6%, P<0.001), favored the treatment group. Mineralocorticoid-related adverse events, including fluid retention, hypertension, and hypokalemia, were more frequently reported in the abiraterone acetate–prednisone group than in the placebo–prednisone group.

CONCLUSIONS

The inhibition of androgen biosynthesis by abiraterone acetate prolonged overall survival among patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer who previously received chemotherapy. (Funded by Cougar Biotechnology; COU-AA-301 ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00638690.)
For the past 70 years, depleting or blocking the action of androgens has been the standard of care for men with advanced prostate cancer. Androgen deprivation results in a decrease in the concentration of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) as well as tumor regression and relief of symptoms in most patients, but the response to treatment is not durable in patients with advanced cancer, and with time, PSA concentrations increase, indicating reactivated androgen-receptor signaling and a transition to a castration-resistant state that is invariably fatal. Many endocrine therapies have been evaluated in these patients, but none have prolonged survival. Three nonhormonal systemic approaches have been found to prolong survival: docetaxel as first-line and cabazitaxel as second-line cytotoxic chemotherapy, and active cellular immunotherapy with sipuleucel-T.

A unique molecular alteration described in castration-resistant prostate cancer is the up-regulation of androgen biosynthesis enzymes, leading to an increase in intratumoral androgen concentrations, which can exceed the levels measured in the blood. Other alterations include overexpression of androgen receptors, and androgen-receptor mutations leading to androgen-receptor binding by additional ligands that would not stimulate the wild-type receptor. Abiraterone acetate, a prodrug of abiraterone, is a selective inhibitor of androgen biosynthesis that potently blocks cytochrome P450 c17 (CYP17), a critical enzyme in testosterone synthesis, thereby blocking androgen synthesis by the adrenal glands and testes and within the prostate tumor. In phase 1–2 trials, treatment with abiraterone acetate, either as a single agent or in combination with low-dose glucocorticoids such as prednisone, resulted in significant antitumor activity among both patients with progressing castration-resistant prostate cancer who had not received chemotherapy and those who had received chemotherapy. The most common adverse events, which were associated with increased mineralocorticoid levels, included hypokalemia, fluid retention, and hypertension; these events were largely abrogated by coadministering low-dose glucocorticoids. We hypothesized that inhibition of androgen biosynthesis with abiraterone acetate and prednisone would improve overall survival among patients with advanced prostate cancer.

Methods

Patients

Patients were eligible to participate in the study if they had histologically or cytologically confirmed prostate cancer that had previously been treated with docetaxel, disease progression according to the criteria of the Prostate Cancer Working Group (for trial entry, patients were considered to have disease progression if they had two consecutive increases in the PSA concentration over a reference value) or radiographic evidence of disease progression in soft tissue or bone with or without disease progression on the basis of the PSA value, and ongoing androgen deprivation, with a serum testosterone level of 50 ng per deciliter or less (±2.0 nmol per liter).

Additional eligibility criteria included an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status score of 2 or less (on a scale from 0 to 5, with 0 indicating that the patient is fully active and able to carry on all predisease activities without restriction; 1 indicating that the patient is restricted in physically strenuous activity but is ambulatory and able to carry out work of a light or sedentary nature, such as light housework or office work; and 2 indicating that the patient is ambulatory and up and about more than 50% of waking hours and is capable of all self-care but unable to carry out any work activities) and hematologic and chemical laboratory values that met predefined criteria, including an albumin level of 3.0 g per deciliter or higher.

Patients were excluded if they had abnormalaminotransferase levels (levels of aspartate aminotransferase or alanine aminotransferase that were ≥2.5 times the upper level of the normal range; patients with known liver metastasis who had levels of aspartate aminotransferase or alanine aminotransferase that were ≤5 times the upper level of the normal range were eligible to participate), serious coexisting nonmalignant disease, active or symptomatic viral hepatitis or chronic liver disease, uncontrolled hypertension, a history of pituitary or adrenal dysfunction, clinically significant heart disease, or previous therapy with ketoconazole.

The review boards at all participating institutions approved the study, which was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the
Study Assessments

Efficacy assessments included the PSA concentration, radiographic imaging, the pain level on the BPI-SF, and analgesic use. Clinical assessments included the patient's medical history, vital-sign measurements, and body weight; a physical examination; review of concomitant therapy and procedures and of adverse events and serious adverse events, including adverse events detected by...
means of laboratory tests; blood chemical, hematologic, coagulation, and serum lipid studies; urinalysis; electrocardiography; and measurement of the cardiac ejection fraction. An independent data and safety monitoring committee monitored patient safety at regular intervals. Other assessments for analyses of exploratory end points included the score on the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy–Prostate questionnaire; the score for fatigue, as evaluated by means of the Brief Fatigue Inventory instrument; information on medical resource utilization; and counts of circulating tumor cells.

**STUDY OVERSIGHT**

This study was designed by both the academic authors and employees of the sponsor, the Ortho Biotech Oncology Research and Development Unit of Cougar Biotechnology. The first draft of the manuscript was written by some of the academic authors and employees of the sponsor; the draft was then completed and approved by the other coauthors. All authors were responsible for writing the manuscript and for the decision to submit the manuscript for publication, and all authors assume responsibility for the completeness and integrity of the data. The blinded database was held at a third-party contract clinical research organization, and queries were issued by both the sponsor and the staff of the clinical research organization. The statistician employed by the independent clinical research organization provided the analysis to the independent data and safety monitoring committee, whose members were invited by the sponsor. After the independent data and safety monitoring committee recommended unblinding of the data, analyses of the data were performed by a statistician employed by the sponsor, and the results were reviewed by the authors.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The planned sample of approximately 1158 patients provided 85% power to detect a hazard ratio of 0.80 for death in the group receiving abiraterone acetate plus prednisone as compared with the group receiving placebo plus prednisone. This sample size was calculated by assuming a median survival of 15 months for the abiraterone acetate group and 12 months for the placebo group, with a two-sided significance level (alpha) of 0.05, an enrollment period of approximately 13 months, and a total study duration of approximately 30 months to observe the required 797 total events.

One interim analysis was planned after 534 deaths were observed (67% of 797 total events) in a group-sequential design with the use of the O’Brien–Fleming stopping boundary. Distributions of time-to-event variables and associated 95% confidence intervals were estimated with the use of the Kaplan–Meier product-limit method. The stratified log-rank test was used as the primary analysis for comparison of treatment groups. Statistical inference was evaluated with the use of the chi-square statistic. Analyses of overall survival with the use of the nonstratified log-rank test and Cox proportional-hazards model were also performed as supportive analyses. Subgroup analyses were carried out to assess whether treatment effects were consistent across subgroups.

**RESULTS**

**PATIENTS AND TREATMENT**

We randomly assigned 1195 patients to receive abiraterone acetate plus prednisone (797 patients) or placebo plus prednisone (398 patients) (Fig. 1 in the Supplementary Appendix). Baseline demographic and other characteristics were well-balanced between the two treatment groups (Table 1). Most patients (67%) had radiographic evidence of disease progression before study entry. The median duration of treatment was 8 months in the group that received abiraterone acetate plus prednisone (hereinafter referred to as the abiraterone acetate group) and 4 months in the group that received placebo plus prednisone (hereinafter referred to as the placebo group). The median follow-up in the overall study population was 12.8 months.

**EFFECTIVENESS**

At the time of the preplanned interim analysis, treatment with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone resulted in a 35.4% reduction in the risk of death as compared with placebo plus prednisone (hazard ratio, 0.65; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.54 to 0.77; P<0.001). A total of 552 patients in the intention-to-treat population died: 333 patients in the abiraterone acetate group (42%) and 219 patients in the placebo group (55%). The median overall survival was 14.8 months in the abiraterone acetate group and 10.9 months in the placebo group (Fig. 1A). The effect of abiraterone acetate and prednisone on overall survival was consistent across all subgroups (Fig. 2), and the significance of the treatment effect on overall survival was robust after adjustment for stratification factors in a
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multivariate analysis (hazard ratio for death, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.55 to 0.78; P<0.001) (Table 2). These data led the independent data and safety monitoring committee to recommend unblinding of the study data, with patients in the placebo group receiving abiraterone acetate if they met the criteria for crossover treatment specified in protocol amendment 3.0 (see the protocol, available at NEJM.org).

All the secondary end points analyzed provided support for the superiority of abiraterone acetate over placebo (Table 3), including the confirmed PSA response rate (29% vs. 6%, P<0.001), the objective response rate on the basis of RECIST among patients with measurable disease at baseline (14% vs. 3%, P<0.001), time to PSA progression (10.2 months vs. 6.6 months), and median progression-free survival on the basis of radiographic evidence (5.6 vs. 3.6 months). On the basis of the PSA concentration, abiraterone acetate was associated with a 42% reduction in the risk of disease progression (hazard ratio, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.46 to 0.73; P<0.001), and on the basis of radiographic imaging, it was associated with a 33% reduction in the risk of progression (hazard ratio, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.78; P<0.001) (Table 3 and Fig. 1B and 1C).

Evaluations of exploratory end points at the interim analysis also favored abiraterone acetate relative to placebo, including the time to 25% of the patients having a skeletal event (9.9 vs. 4.9 months) and the rate of pain palliation among patients with a baseline pain score of 4 or more and at least one post-baseline pain score (44% vs. 27%, P = 0.002). Patients in the abiraterone acetate group had consistently improved pain palliation as compared with those in the placebo group.

SAFETY
The most common adverse event was fatigue, which occurred at a similar frequency in the two treatment groups (Table 4). Other common adverse events in both groups were back pain (30% in the abiraterone acetate group and 33% in the placebo group), nausea (30% and 32%, respectively), consti-
pation (26% and 31%), bone pain (25% and 28%), and arthralgia (27% and 23%). Most of these events were grade 1 or 2. Urinary tract infection was more frequent in the abiraterone acetate group (12%, vs. 7% in the placebo group; P=0.02); these infections were also primarily grade 1 or 2 events. Adverse events resulting in treatment discontinuation occurred with similar frequency in the abiraterone acetate and placebo groups (19% and 23%, respectively; P=0.09). The incidence of adverse events leading to dose modification or interruption was also similar in the two groups (Table 3 in the Supplementary Appendix).

Adverse events associated with elevated mineralocorticoid levels due to CYP17 blockade (fluid retention and edema, hypokalemia, and hypertension), as well as cardiac disorders and liver-function test abnormalities (Table 4), were deemed of special interest and were more common in the abiraterone acetate group (55% vs. 43%, P<0.001). The incidence of fluid retention and edema was higher in the abiraterone acetate group (31%, vs. 22% in the placebo group; P=0.04). Grade 1 or 2 peripheral edema accounted for most of these events. Hypokalemia also occurred in a higher proportion of patients in the abiraterone acetate group (17%, vs. 8% in the placebo group; P<0.001).

Cardiac events (primarily grade 1 or 2) occurred at a higher rate in the abiraterone acetate group than in the placebo group (13% vs. 11%, P=0.14), but the difference was not significant. The most frequently reported cardiac events were tachycardia (3% in the abiraterone acetate group and 2% in the placebo group, P=0.22) and atrial fibrillation (2% and 1%, respectively; P=0.29). All tachycardia events were grade 1 or 2; atrial fibrillation events were grade 3 or lower. Despite the slightly higher incidence of cardiac events in the abiraterone acetate group than in the placebo group, there was no significant increase in fatal cardiac events in the abiraterone acetate group (1.1%, vs. 1.3% in the placebo group). No individual grade 4 adverse events occurred in 2% or more of patients in either treatment group.

Abiraterone acetate treatment has been associated with an elevation in aminotransferase levels. A grade 4 elevation in an aminotransferase level early in the study led to a protocol amendment specifying more frequent monitoring with liver-function tests during the first 12 weeks of treatment. Overall, however, abnormalities in liver-function tests occurred at a similar frequency in the abiraterone...
acetate and placebo groups, including changes of any grade in liver-function tests (10% and 8%, respectively), grade 3 or 4 changes in liver-function tests (3.5% and 3.0%), grade 3 or 4 elevations in aspartate aminotransferase levels (1.4% and 1.6%), grade 3 or 4 elevations in alanine aminotransferase levels (1.0% and 1.1%), and grade 4 elevations in aminotransferase levels (0.3% and 0.5%).

A total of 11% of patients in the abiraterone acetate group and 13% of patients in the placebo group died within 30 days after the last dose of study medication, primarily as a result of disease progression. A lower proportion of patients in the abiraterone acetate group than in the placebo group had an adverse event that resulted in death (12% vs. 15%).
In this phase 3 study of abiraterone acetate, an inhibitor of androgen biosynthesis, survival was prolonged among patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer who had received chemotherapy. Increased survival was observed in all patient subgroups and the superiority of the treatment group was shown across all prespecified secondary end points. The use of hormonal agents is typically not considered in patients who have received chemotherapy. These results show that continued androgen-receptor signaling contributes to disease progression, and they provide support for the evaluation of other endocrine therapies in this stage of the disease.2,10,31

Multiple studies have shown that despite treatment with medical or surgical castration, prostate cancers continue to have sufficient levels of androgens to drive tumor growth.32-34 Several studies have provided evidence that this disease progression may be due in part to intratumoral synthesis of androgens, with castration-resistant prostate-cancer cells overexpressing the enzymes required for androgen biosynthesis.7,35-38 Surgical orchiectomy or pharmacologic castration with a gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue (with or without an antiandrogen) leads to serum testosterone levels in the range of 20 to 50 ng per deciliter, as assessed with the use of radioimmunoassays. Abiraterone acetate further reduces androgen levels to the range of 1 to 2 ng per deciliter, as assessed with the use of supersensitive assays involving mass spectroscopy, redefining the concept of “castrate-range” testosterone levels.39 None of the other second-line hormonal agents, including the nonspecific CYP17 inhibitors ketoconazole and aminogluthimide, improve survival, and each has a less favorable safety profile than abiraterone acetate. This study validates the hypothesis that the biosynthesis of steroid hormones downstream of CYP17 contributes to progression of castration-resistant prostate cancer in a subgroup of men for whom this disease remains driven by steroid li-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Results of Multivariate Analysis of Overall Survival in the Intention-to-Treat Population.*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment: abiraterone acetate vs. placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG score: 0 or 1 vs. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain: absent vs. present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous chemotherapy regimens: 1 vs. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of progression: PSA concentration only vs. radiographic findings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data on patients who had not died by the time of analysis were censored on the last date the patient was known to be alive or was available for follow-up. Each test was carried out at a significance level of 0.05. CI denotes confidence interval, ECOG Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, and PSA prostate-specific antigen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Secondary End Points.*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to PSA progression (mo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression-free survival according to radiographic evidence (mo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA response rate (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmed response on the basis of the PSA concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective response on the basis of imaging studies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* PSA denotes prostate-specific antigen.
glands and should not be described as “hormone refractory.” Since these men cannot be identified a priori, continuing to call this disease “androgen independent” or “hormone refractory” is imprecise.22 As our study shows, blocking androgen synthesis by inhibiting CYP17 can produce tumor responses in patients who no longer have a response to standard hormonal therapies and who had received docetaxel-based chemotherapy.

The safety profile observed in the group of patients who received abiraterone acetate was similar to that observed in earlier clinical studies.15,17,20 Toxic effects were predominantly grade 1 or 2, with a low rate of drug discontinuation or dose reduction, and were largely mechanism-based and secondary to mineralocorticoid excess resulting from blockade of CYP17. These adverse events included hypokalemia, hypertension, and fluid retention, which were largely abrogated by the use of low-dose prednisone or prednisolone (5 mg twice a day), similar to the mitigation of hypertension and hypokalemia observed with the use of corticosteroids in the well-characterized syndrome of congenital CYP17 deficiency.40,41 Treatment with abiraterone acetate did not appear to increase the risk of metabolic changes or symp-

### Table 4. Adverse Events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Abiraterone Acetate (N = 791)</th>
<th>Placebo (N = 394)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Grades</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anemia</strong></td>
<td>178 (23)</td>
<td>51 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thrombocytopenia</strong></td>
<td>28 (4)</td>
<td>8 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neutropenia</strong></td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>1 (&lt;1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Febrile neutropenia</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diarrhea</strong></td>
<td>139 (18)</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fatigue</strong></td>
<td>346 (44)</td>
<td>64 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asthenia</strong></td>
<td>104 (13)</td>
<td>18 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Back pain</strong></td>
<td>233 (30)</td>
<td>44 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nausea</strong></td>
<td>233 (30)</td>
<td>12 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vomiting</strong></td>
<td>168 (21)</td>
<td>13 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hematuria</strong></td>
<td>65 (8)</td>
<td>11 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abdominal pain</strong></td>
<td>95 (12)</td>
<td>16 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pain in arm or leg</strong></td>
<td>134 (17)</td>
<td>18 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dyspnea</strong></td>
<td>102 (13)</td>
<td>8 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Constipation</strong></td>
<td>206 (26)</td>
<td>8 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pyrexia</strong></td>
<td>71 (9)</td>
<td>3 (&lt;1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arthralgia</strong></td>
<td>215 (27)</td>
<td>33 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urinary tract infection</strong></td>
<td>91 (12)</td>
<td>17 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pain</strong></td>
<td>13 (2)</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bone pain</strong></td>
<td>194 (25)</td>
<td>42 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fluid retention and edema</strong></td>
<td>241 (31)</td>
<td>16 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypokalemia</strong></td>
<td>135 (17)</td>
<td>27 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiac disorder#</strong></td>
<td>106 (13)</td>
<td>26 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liver-function test abnormalities</strong></td>
<td>82 (10)</td>
<td>25 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypertension</strong></td>
<td>77 (10)</td>
<td>10 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Cardiac disorders associated with abiraterone acetate treatment, as defined with the use of the standardized Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (version 11.0) queries, included ischemic heart disease, myocardial infarction, supraventricular tachyarrhythmias, ventricular tachyarrhythmias, cardiac failure, and possible arrhythmia-related tests, signs, and symptoms.
toms associated with chronic androgen deprivation. Nevertheless, longer follow-up is warranted to evaluate late toxic effects. Although grade 4 elevations in aminotransferase levels were observed early in the study, no additional cases were observed after the protocol amendment that mandated more frequent monitoring with liver-function tests during the first 12 weeks, with suspension of treatment in the event of grade 3 elevations in serum aminotransferase levels and reintroduction of abiraterone acetate at a reduced dose when liver enzyme levels returned to baseline values. Overall, compliance with abiraterone acetate treatment was high, and side effects were easily manageable and reversible, despite the advanced age and level of frailty of the study population.46

In conclusion, this study showed that abiraterone acetate plus prednisone, as compared with placebo plus prednisone, prolonged survival among patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer who had disease progression after docetaxel-based chemotherapy, with a low frequency of additional treatment-related toxic effects.
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